1	JANET NAPOLITANO Attorney General	
2	Firm No. 14000	
3	ROBERTO PULVER State Bar No. 018885	
4	Assistant Attorney General	
5	1275 W. Washington, CIV/LES Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2926	
6	Telephone: (602) 542-7026 Fax: (602) 364-3202	
	Attorneys for the State	
7	BEFORE THE ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS	
8		
9	In the Matter of:	Investigation Case Nos. MD-00-0707 and
10		MD-01-0114.
	WALLACE K. NAKAGAWA, M.D.	CONSENT AGREEMENT AND
11	Holder of License No. 20760 For the Practice of Medicine	ORDER FOR STAYED REVOCATION
12	In the State of Arizona,	WITH PRACTICE SUSPENSION
13		
14	Respondent.	
15		
	CONSENT AGREEMENT	
16	RECITALS	
17		
18	In the interest of a prompt and judicious settlement of this case, consistent with th	
19	public interest, statutory requirements and responsibilities of the Arizona State Board of	
20	public interest, statutory requirements and responsionates of the range state state	
21	Medical Examiners ("Board"), and under A.R.S. §§ 32-1401 et seq., and 41-1092.07(F)(5	
	Wallace K. Nakagawa, M.D., holder of license number 20760 to practice allopath	
22	Wallace K. Nakagawa, W.D., Holder of heelise humber 20700 to practice anopular	
23	medicine in the State of Arizona ("Respondent"), and the Board enter into the following	
24	Recitals, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Consent Agreement") as the	
25	icolais, i manigo of i act, conclusions of	The order (Commercially as an
26	final disposition of this matter.	

- 1. Respondent has read and understands this Consent Agreement as set forth herein, and has had the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with an attorney. Respondent voluntarily enters into this Consent Agreement for the purpose of avoiding the expense and uncertainty of an administrative hearing.
- 2. Respondent understands that he has a right to a public administrative hearing concerning each allegation set forth in the above-captioned matter, at which administrative hearing he could present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. By entering into this Consent Agreement, Respondent freely and voluntarily relinquishes all rights to such an administrative hearing, as well as all rights of rehearing, review, reconsideration, appeal, judicial review or any other administrative and/or judicial action, concerning the matters set forth herein.
- 3. Respondent agrees that the Board may adopt this Consent Agreement or any part of this agreement, under A.R.S. § 32-1451(G)(5). Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement or any part of the agreement may be considered in any future disciplinary action against him.
- 4. Respondent understands this Consent Agreement deals with Board Investigations Case Nos. MD-00-0707 and MD-01-0114 involving allegations of unprofessional conduct against Respondent. The investigation into these allegations against Respondent shall be concluded upon the Board's adoption of this Consent Agreement.
 - 5. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement does not constitute a

dismissal or resolution of other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, express or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction regarding any other pending or future investigation, action or proceeding. Respondent also understands that acceptance of this Consent Agreement does not preclude any other agency, subdivision or officer of this state from instituting any other civil or criminal proceedings with respect to the conduct that is the subject of this Consent Agreement.

- Agreement and returning this document to the Board's Executive Director, Respondent may not revoke his acceptance of the Consent Agreement or make any modifications to the document, regardless of whether the Consent Agreement has been issued by the Executive Director. Any modification to this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually approved by the parties in writing.
 - 7. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement shall not become effective unless and until adopted by the Board and signed by its Executive Director.
 - 8. Respondent understands and agrees that if the Board does not adopt this Consent Agreement, he will not assert as a defense that an administrative hearing was not conducted within sixty days as provided by A.R.S. § 32-1451(D) following the Board's Interim Order of Summary Suspension dated June 25, 2001, or that the Board's consideration of this Consent Agreement constitutes bias, prejudice, prejudgment or other

- 9. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement is a public record that may be publicly disseminated as a formal action of the Board, and shall be reported as required by law to the National Practitioner Data Bank and the Healthcare Integrity and Protection Data Bank.
- 10. Respondent understands that any violation of this Consent Agreement constitutes unprofessional conduct under A.R.S. § 32-1401(25)(r)([v]iolating a formal order, probation, consent agreement or stipulation issued or entered into by the board or its executive director under the provisions of this chapter) and shall result in disciplinary action under A.R.S. § 32-1451.

DATED: 10/25/01

WALLACE K NAKAGAWA, M.D.

Reviewed and Approved as to form:

DWAY OF ROSS, ESQ.
Attorney for Respondent

21 Altome

FINDINGS OF FACT

By stipulation of the parties, this Consent Agreement is entered into for final disposition of the matters described therein. Respondent acknowledges that sufficient evidence exists for the Board to make the following Findings of Fact:

- 11. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 12. Respondent is the holder of License No. 20760 for the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 13. On November 3, 2000, after an investigation into the competency of Dr. Nakagawa, the Board issued an Interim Order that within six months of the date of the Order, Dr. Nakagawa be evaluated by the Post Licensure Assessment System ("PLAS") offered by the Federation of State Medical Boards.
- 14. On June 22, 2001, Dr. Nakagawa admitted to the Board that he had failed to follow the Board's Interim Order to be evaluated by PLAS.
- 15. In January 2001, Dr. Nakagawa was suspended from the staff of Northern Cochise Community Hospital for failing to complete patient medical records in a timely manner.
- 16. On April 4, 2001, Northern Cochise Community Hospital reinstated Dr. Nakagawa's hospital privileges.
- 17. On April 26, 2001, Northern Cochise Community Hospital issued a Letter of Reprimand and a Probationary Order to Dr. Nakagawa.
- 18. In May 2001, Northern Cochise Community Hospital revoked Dr. Nakagawa's hospital privileges for failing to complete patient medical records in a timely manner and violating the Probationary Order.
 - 19. An investigation conducted by Board staff disclosed that Dr. Nakagawa was

not providing adequate physician coverage when he was absent from his acute care and long-term care patients admitted to Northern Cochise Community Hospital.

- 20. On April 9, 2001, the Board issued an Interim Order to Dr. Nakagawa to undergo psychiatric evaluation. Dr. Michael Brennan evaluated Dr. Nakagawa. The evaluation disclosed problems that may interfere with Dr. Nakagawa's ability to mentally and physically practice medicine.
- 21. On June 25, 2001, after findings that the public health, safety and welfare imperatively required emergency action, the Board issued a Summary Suspension to Dr. Nakagawa's allopathic license pending an administrative hearing under A.R.S. § 32-1451(D).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 22. The Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter and over Respondent as a licensee of the Board, under A.R.S. § 32-1401, et seq.
- 23. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct under A.R.S. § 32-1401(25)(e) ([f]ailing or refusing to maintain adequate records on a patient).
- 24. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct under A.R.S. § 32-1401(25)(q) ([a]ny conduct or practice which is or might be harmful or dangerous to the health of the patient of the public).
- 25. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct under A.R.S. § 32-1401(25)(r)([v]iolating a formal order, probation, consent

agreement or stipulation issued or entered into by the board or its executive director under the provisions of this chapter).

ORDER

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and under the authority granted to the Board by A.R.S. §§ 41-1092.07(F)(5) and 32-1451(F), it is hereby ordered that:

- 26. The Board's Interim Order of Summary Suspension, dated June 25, 2001, is vacated and that License No. 20760, issued to Respondent for the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona, is REVOKED. The revocation, however, is STAYED and Respondent is immediately SUSPENDED from the practice of clinical medicine or any medicine involving direct patient care, and is prohibited from prescribing any form of treatment including prescription medications, until he meets with the Board and affirmatively receives the Board's approval to return to practice.
- 27. Before Respondent meets with the Board to seek its approval to return to practice, Respondent shall submit to comprehensive psychological and psychiatric evaluations to determine whether he is medically incompetent or mentally or physically unable to practice allopathic medicine within sixty days after the effective date of this Consent Agreement. The evaluations shall include a diagnostic examination of Respondent to determine whether he has an addiction to gambling or any other addictions. The psychologist and psychiatrist who perform the evaluations upon Respondent must be approved by Board staff prior to Respondent submitting to the evaluations. Respondent

shall be responsible for all expenses and costs associated with the evaluations, and shall have the psychologist and psychiatrist directly submit their evaluations and recommendations to Board staff. Respondent shall deliver a written medical records release, which release must be approved by Board staff, to the psychologist and psychiatrist allowing them to release the evaluations and any other information obtained during those evaluations to the Board and its staff. Respondent shall provide the psychologist and the psychiatrist with the copy of this Consent Agreement.

- 28. Before Respondent meets with the Board to seek its approval to return to practice, Respondent shall enroll for the PLAS evaluation within ninety days after the effective date of this Consent Agreement. Respondent shall give written notification to PLAS that all records and recommendations from the evaluation be sent directly to the Board and its staff. Respondent shall be responsible for all expenses and costs associated with the PLAS evaluation. Respondent shall provide PLAS with a copy of this Consent Agreement.
 - 29. Before receiving the Board's approval to return to practice, Respondent shall undergo and successfully complete any further evaluation or treatment the Board deems necessary to assist it in determining Respondent's ability to safely and competently return to the active practice of medicine.
 - 30. Respondent agrees that the Board's determination of any further evaluation or treatment of Respondent is final and binding upon him, and there is no appeal or any other type of action of the Board's determination to any administrative or judicial court of

competent jurisdiction.

1.5

absolute discretion may determine, after reviewing all evaluations and recommendations, that Respondent cannot be rehabilitated to return to the active practice of medicine, and the Board may lift the stay against Respondent and revoke his license. Alternatively, the Board may order, but is not limited to, the following courses of action or any combination of them to allow Respondent to return to the active practice of medicine: suspension, probation, practice restrictions, monitoring by another physician, continuing medical education, additional examinations, mini-residency, and etc.

- 32. Respondent agrees that any Board determination on when Respondent can return to the active practice of medicine is a final and binding decision, and there is no appeal or any other type of action of the Board's determination to any administrative or judicial court of competent jurisdiction.
 - 33. This Consent Agreement is a public record.
- 34. Respondent shall obey all federal, state, and local laws, and rules governing the practice of medicine in Arizona, and remain in full compliance with any medical board or court ordered administrative, civil, or criminal probation, payments or other orders.
- 35. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of the Consent Agreement. The declarations shall be submitted on or before the 15th of March June, September, and December of each year.

15₁₆

36. Respondent agrees the Board or its designee shall have sole, complete and absolute discretion to determine whether Respondent has violated the Consent Agreement.

Any violation of the Consent Agreement as determined by the Board or its designee shall cause Respondent's stay to be lifted and his allopathic license shall be immediately revoked.

- 37. Respondent unequivocably and absolutely relinquishes and waives all rights before any administrative, state or federal court of competent jurisdiction to rehear, review, reconsider, appeal or any other type of administrative and/or judicial action concerning the Board's, or its designee's, determination that Respondent violated the Consent Agreement which shall lift Respondent's stay and revoke his allopathic license.
- 38. Respondent agrees and is aware that the only opportunity granted to him to present information to the Board or its designee that he has not violated the Consent Agreement is a discussion before the Board on the alleged violation(s). The Board or its designee will provide a written notice to Respondent of the alleged violation(s) and the date, time, and place where the discussion will be held. Respondent can be represented by an attorney at that discussion. The discussion is not an evidentiary-type hearing, but a dialogue between the parties. Respondent can waive his opportunity for discussion before the Board or its designee. The Board's, or its designee's, determination that Respondent has violated the Consent Agreement is a final and binding decision on Respondent, and as stated in the above paragraph there is no appeal from that decision. Upon receipt of the Board's, or its designee's, written decision that Respondent violated the Consent Agreement, Respondent

shall immediately surrender his allopathic license to the Board. DATED AND EFFECTIVE this 1th day of Mounte 2001. 2 BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS 3 OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA 4 [SEAL & 5 6 7 8 9 10 COPY of the foregoing mailed by 11 U.S. Certified Mail this 1th day of 12 November, 2001 to: 13 Wallace K. Nakagawa, M.D. 14 903 North Bowie Wilcox, Arizona 85643 15 Respondent 16 COPY of the foregoing mailed 17 this 1th day of November, 2001, to: 18 Dwayne Ross, Esq. 19 Robbins & Green, P.A. 3300 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1800 20 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2518 21 Attorney for Respondent 22 Roberto Pulver 23 Assistant Attorney General 1275 W. Washington, CIV/LES 24 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

11

Executive Director

TOM ADAMS Deputy Director

#346838v.2

Attorney for the State

25